Ansys SPEOS | The engineering of optics





Optiks / noun singular

Science of vision, generation, Propagation and behavior of light.



Ansys Simulation Platform





Ansys SPEOS | A collaborative design platform



Compatible with all CAD platforms



©2021 ANSYS, Inc. / Confidential

Ansys SPEOS | Predictive simulation for informed decision-making



Experience through customers' eyes

Deliver perceived quality





©2021 ANSYS, Inc. / Confidential

Do it right the first time

	Compatible with all CAD platforms	 Easy-to-use and deploy CNC ready: direct manufacturing 	CERTIFIED
	Physics-based modeling	 Reliable decision-making tool Simulation of all materials and sources 	
Q	Industry-oriented	 Powerful easy-to-use analysis tools + library IP management: secured data exchanges 	
~~	Dedicated engine & algorithms	 Precise true-to-life results in a fast time Cloud ready 	

//nsys

What is a HUD?

- Head-up display (HUD)
- Transparent display within the windshield
- Application in automotive, aircraft, military, etc.
- Data presentation, e.g. speed, pressure, height, navigation, etc.





How to optimize a HUD?

Current state of the art

- Sequential optimization of windshield and HUD
- Create windshield with known working criteria
- Optimize a mirror system for this windshield

Process automation with Ansys SPEOS & optiSLang

- Using an automotive head-up display (HUD) imaging system
- Optimization of the aspherical mirror
- Combine optimization of HUD and Windshield
- Improve the system's quality during development by identifying potential issues early in the design, including complex boundary conditions
- Identify critical input values
- Less user input through process automation & higher information generation



How to optimize a HUD?







Design Generation with Ansys SPEOS



Initial Design of Windshield & HUD



Initial Design of Windshield & HUD

Windshield

- Optimization geometry
- Symmetry assumption
- Implement design and regulation constraints

Head-up display (aspherical mirror)

- Create initial design using Ansys SPEOS
- 81 points for design of aspherical mirror
- Eyeboxes: analysis of 1 point per eyebox
- Eyedistance = 65 mm



Workflow and Postprocessing with Ansys optiSLang



What possibilities are included in Ansys optiSLang?

Process integration

Workflow Building and Process Automation

Variation Analysis

Algorithms for robust design optimization

- Automated workflows
- Support all Ansys tools
- Support 3rd party tools
- Open and flexible
- Build vertical apps
- Integration with Minerva
- "Simulation for non-simulation experts"



- Identify relevant parameters
- Reduce complexity
- Understand your design
- Optimize your product with minimal effort
- Verify the robustness and reliability of your design
- Creates Data-based ROMs for digital twins



Best Practice Guideline for Virtual Product Development







• Combination of HUD and Windshield optimization





• Combination of HUD and Windshield optimization



Workflow

- Extract responses out of Html file with ETK-node
 - Extraction Tool Kit
 - Very powerful

353	Virtual Image Distance	424	Ghost
354		425	
355		426	
356	<h2><h2></h2></h2> 	427	<h2><h2></h2></h2>
357		428	
358		429	
359		430	
360	Configuration	431	Configuration
361	Central Eyebox	432	Central Eyebox
362		433	
363		434	
364	d>>Driver.offset.(mm)	435	d>>Driver.offset.(mm)
365	0.000	436	0.000
366		437	
367		438	
368	Average (mm)	439	Average (')
369	1707.623	440	0.000
370		441	



Readingvalues



Inputs & Responses

Inputs

- Support points
 - Windshield: variation of coordinates ± 1cm (≠ variation of windshield surfaces) 36 points
 - Asphere: variation of coordinates ± 0.1mm (81 points)
 → 3x3 inputs through neighbors relationship

HUD NurbsPoint



Responses

- Optical responses
 - Ghost
 - Astigmatism
 - Convergence
 - Image Distance
- Geometrical responses
 - Rotation
 - Dynamic Distorsion





- Challenge: compromise between contradicting optical and geometrical responses
 - Multiobjective Optimization
 - Objectives: minimization of the geometrical and optical responses

ſ	Criteria						
	Name	Туре	Expression	Criterion	Limit	Evaluated expression	
	obj_optics	Objective	(Ghost_average+Astigmatism_average+Convergence_average+Image_Distance_criterium)/3	MIN		3.00114	
	obj_geometry	Objective	(DynDistorsion_average+Rotation_average)	MIN		2.41782	
	f → Image_Distance_criterium	Variable	(2000-ImageDistance_average)/300			1.0191	



- MOP Metamodel of Optimal Prognosis
- Representation of the 3D Surface Response Plot of *"Rotation_average"* as response with the two most important parameters *"displ_pos3"* and *"asphere_pos77"*
- High approximation quality (CoP = 84 %)





File Edit View Windows MOP Help Q Update Q □ == == 👒 💎 • 🔞 🗇 🐠 🤜 🦓 • ₽× Preferences Common settings Response surface 3D plot Residual plot Show properties for: Currently active plot Hide dimension selection Isotropic Kriging approximation of Rotation_average Coefficient of Prognosis = 84 % OUTPUT : Rotation_average 1st: asphere_pos77 -Property Value -2nd: displ_pos3 Application Select la... Classic layout 3rd: Rotation_average values 0.3 Render ... Auto-detect A Hide plot settings Preserve... False مننن المنتقان Show settings for: Currently active plot roximated Minimu... 10 0.3 Ask befo... False Response surface 3D plot Choose ... 🔽 True Resolution: 30 \$ average Select b... False 0.25 App 0.1 Isolines: Global c... Linear correlation Automat... 🗹 True Fitting (CoD) ٠ Palette data: Values 0.2 Show pa... 🗹 True . Prediction (CoP) Rotation Show pa... V True Hide design selection 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3 Show re... 🔽 True 0.15 Select all Invert selection Data values Show in... 🔽 True Design selection: Show Statistical data Show pl... All designs 0.1 Show details Subwindows Show design activation CoP matrix Visuals ... Total effects Search for Design set: 3. Iteration --8 -6 -4 -2 0 2 4 6 8 0.08 0.04 0 -0.04 displ_pos2 6 8 0.08 onere_pos77 Approximation history Hide parameter values asphere_pos77 Rotation_average displ_pos3 Residual plot Show deactivated parameters Coefficient of Prognosis ImageDistance_average CoP matrix Ghost_average Use current design values Response surface 2D plot ž Response surface 3D plot Approximation history DynDistorsion_average * asphere_pos1: 0.00000 Response surface topvie... Approximation history 3 Convergence_average Data mining Designtable + asphere_pos37: 0.00000 Astigmatism_average 0.6 2D Anthill plot \$3 displ_pos8 _pos41 displ_pos20 displ_pos3 displ_pos1 displ_pos12 displ_pos23 displ_pos27 asphere_pos! spl_pos1! Dos7 displ_pos1 displ_pos3 displ_pos 3D Cloud plot + asphere pos41: 0.00000 do o Parallel coordinates plot Rotation_average S Signal plot asphere_pos5 0 asphere pos77 asph Spider plot asphere_pos45: 0.00000 asphere_pos9 Parametrization displ_pos11 3 Parameter Iterations Miscellaneous

© 2021 ANSYS, Inc. / Confidential

//nsys

File Edit View Windows MOP Help



©2021 ANSYS, Inc. / Confidential

1. Parallel Coordinates Plot: each line represents one design → demonstrates the conflicting responses





//nsys



• Paretofront: best designs without domination between designs



Optimization

- Contradicting objectives visualized by clustering the best designs
- better understanding of relation between parameters, responses and objective space





Summary





- Design Generation
 - Variation of support points usable for every other application (e.g. lens systems, freeforms, headlamps, reflectors)
- Workflow and Postprocessing
 - Automation for combined optimization of HUD and Windshield
 - Designunderstanding
 - Solution for contradicting responses through multiobjective optimization





Thank you



Join the Ansys conversation!

Read and comment.

Find the Ansys blog: ansys.com/blog





Stay Connected!



Get the latest news on Ansys Speos

Webinars, events, White papers, and more!



Can You See Through Fog? You Better Be Sure That Your Autonomous Vehicle Can

designnews.com • 5 min read







ansys.com/speos-trial





18th Weimar Optimization and Stochastic Days 2021 June 17th & 18th -- We meet virtually this time

6 PLENARY SESSIONS

5 INDUSTRY APPLICATION TRACKS

2 ROUNDTABLES

+30 SPEAKERS

TOPICS:

- Design Optimization
- Simulation Process Automation and Orchestration
- Platform R&D Update
- Metamodeling
- Reliability Analysis & Calibration



Agenda & Registration







To ask a question, click on the Q&A button at the bottom of the screen to display the Q&A window:



The recording will be available in about one week on the Ansys resource center:

ansys.com/resource-center



Ask our Experts!



Sabrina Niemeyer Application Engineer Ansys optiSLang Sabrina.Niemeyer@ansys.com



Stefan Thoene Lead Application Engineering

Ansys Speos





Appendix



Parameter Identification

- How good fits your simulation to measurements?
- Do you need to qualify your model?
- Do you have unknown parameters?
- Automatic calibration incl. curves
- Possibility to test different model definitions (from simple to complex)

Model Calibration

Model update to increase your simulation quality



Use scalar values or signals inside ANSYS Workbench Identify which parameters have influence and can be calibrated Match experimental data with simulation



DoE & Sensitivity Analysis

Understand your possibilities:

- Take a deep look at the **space of opportunities**
- Learn which design parameter is important and how to define the goals and the limitations to find the right way



Sensitivity Analysis

Understand the most important input variables





Automatic workflow with a minimum of solver runs to: Identify the important parameters for each response Generate best possible metamodel (MOP) for each response Understand and reduce the optimization task Check solver and extraction noise



©2021 ANSYS, Inc. / Confidential

Dynardo's metamodels: MOP – Metamodel of Optimal Prognosis

MOP for scalar values:

- Objective measure of prognosis quality = CoP
- Determination of relevant parameter subspace
- Determination of optimal approximation model
- Approximation of solver output by fast surrogate model without over-fitting
- Evaluation of variable sensitivities







Insys

DoE & Sensitivity Analysis

Space-filling Latin Hypercube Sampling:

- Samples are located at the mid-points of intervals
- Considers existing start designs
- Considers discrete parameters
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Space filling property is optimized
- Very efficient in low dimensions
- Recommended for up to 5 parameters







DoE & Sensitivity Analysis

Advanced Latin Hypercube Sampling:

- Samples are located at the mid-points of intervals
- Considers existing start designs
- Considers discrete parameters
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Input correlation errors are minimized
- Highly efficient in higher dimensions
- Recommended for more than 5 parameters







Adaptive Metamodel of Optimal Prognosis - AMOP

- Automatic adaptation of an initial sampling set
- Global refinement with advanced and space-filling Latin Hypercube Sampling
- Local refinement considering
 - Sample density
 - Local approximation errors
 - Optimization criteria







Start iteration

- Works as Sensitivity system
- Sampling and deterministic DoE schemes are possible
- Considers start designs

Refinement

- Analogous Sensitivity wizard on Sensitivity
- Only sampling schemes
- Considers all previous designs

Convergence/Stop if

- Target CoP is reached for all global CoPs of selected responses
- Maximum number of iterations reached

Parameter Start de	signs Criter	a Adaption	MOP	Other	Result designs	
Adaption						
Refinement type: Global 👻						
Maximum number of samples: 300						
Show advanced settings						
Use start designs	only					
Sampling type:	Sampling type: Space filling Latin Hypercube Sampling					
Number of samples:	Number of samples: 100					
Refinement						
Sampling type:	Space filling La	tin Hypercube S	Sampling		•	•
Number of samples: 100						
Consider failed designs						
Convergence criteria						
Target CoP:	0.9					
Maximum iterations:	3					



AMOP – Global Refinement

Example Ishigami function

- Iteration 3: CoP = 99 %
- All three important variables are detected
- ➤Target CoP is reached



Isotropic Kriging approximation of ishigami Coefficient of Prognosis = 99 %



AMOP – Local Refinement

Using local CoP

Example Oscillator

- New points are placed in region with large gradients
- Local CoP is improved significantly



Moving Least Squares approximation of omega_damped Coefficient of Prognosis = 99 %



AMOP – Local Refinement

Constraint refinement

• New points are placed in the region where constraints are fulfilled





©2021 ANSYS, Inc. / Confidential

Decision Making by Data Exploration

Data Visualization and Interactive Postprocessing for Design Understanding















©2021 ANSYS, Inc. / Confidential

/ Design Improvement

- How to define your objective?
- Use the MOP from Sensitivity to compare different optimization strategies in minutes (no simulation run)
- Do you have constraints?
- One goal or maybe multi disciplinary optimization?







Work with the reduced subset of only important parameters Pre-optimization on meta model (one additional solver run) Optimization with leading edge optimization algorithms Decision tree for optimization algorithms



Evolutionary Algorithm (EA)

Imitates Evolution ("Optimization") in Nature:

- Survival of the fittest
- Evolution due to mutation, recombination and selection
- Developed for optimization problems where no gradient information is available, like binary or discrete search spaces





Evolutionary Algorithm (EA)

Properties

- Stochastic generation of new designs -> robust search approach
- No gradient information or regression is necessary (More efficient than NLPQL or ARSM for large number of variables)
- Failed designs can be considered in selection procedure
- Ordinal and nominal discrete variable types can be considered
- Global search can treat multiple local optima

Recommended area of application

- Optimization tasks with multiple local minima
- Discovering of new design variants
- Many (nominal) discrete and binary design variables
- Many constraint conditions
- Elevated ratio of failed designs
- Strong solver noise



Pareto Optimality

- Solution **a** dominates solution **c** since **a** is better in both objectives
- Solution a is indifferent to b since each solution is better than the respective other in one objective
- A design is Pareto optimal, if it is not dominated by any other design
- The set of Pareto optimal solutions forms the Pareto front



Pesign Quality

- For each optimization run the safety factors are adjusted for critical model responses
- How big are the influences of tolerances from material, geometry and production



Robustness Evaluation Ensure your product quality **Output parameter** variation Latin Hypercube Parameter 3 Sampling Importance full model: CoP = 99 % NPUT: LowerRadius 250 300 3: OUTPUT: Equivalent Stress Maximum INPUT: Force_Y_Componen INPUT Thickness 20 40 60 80 CoP [%] of OUTPUT: Equivalent_Stress_Maximu Powerful procedure to check design quality: **Proof of Reliability with leading edge algorithms**

Robustness evaluation with optimized Latin Hypercube Sampling Proof of Reliability with leading edge algorithms Check variation interval limits and probabilities of overstepping Identify the most important scattering variables Decision tree for robustness algorithms



Variance based Robustness Analysis

1) Define the robustness space using scatter range, distribution and correlation





2) Scan the robustness space by producing and evaluating n designs

3) Check the variation

FMVSS 214 Side Impact





5) Identify the most important scattering



4) Check the explainability of the model



